
FENWICK SOLAR FARM

**Fenwick Solar Farm
EN010152**

Framework Archaeological Mitigation Strategy
Document Reference: EN010152/APP/8.16

The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010

~~April~~May 2025
Revision Number: ~~000~~001

Revision History

Revision Number	Date	Details
00	April 2025	Deadline 1
<u>01</u>	<u>May 2025</u>	<u>Deadline 2</u>

Prepared for:
Fenwick Solar Project Limited

Prepared by:
AECOM Limited

© 2025 AECOM Limited. All Rights Reserved.

This document has been prepared by AECOM Limited ("AECOM") for sole use of our client (the "Client") in accordance with generally accepted consultancy principles, the budget for fees and the terms of reference agreed between AECOM and the Client. Any information provided by third parties and referred to herein has not been checked or verified by AECOM, unless otherwise expressly stated in the document. No third party may rely upon this document without the prior and express written agreement of AECOM.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
1. Introduction	2
1.1 Overview.....	2
1.2 Purpose and Structure	2
1.3 Status of this document	3
1.4 Roles and Responsibilities	4
1.5 Aims and Objectives	4
2. Background Information.....	6
2.1 Site Location, Topography and Geology	6
2.2 Archaeological Baseline	6
2.3 Regional Research Framework and Agendas	16
3. Scope of Archaeological Evaluation Surveys.....	18
3.1 Overview.....	18
3.2 Trial Trench Evaluation	18
3.3 Geophysical Survey	19
4. Scope of Archaeological Mitigation Measures	21
4.1 Overview.....	21
4.2 Mitigation Strategies	21
5. Procedures for Unexpected Archaeological Discoveries	27
5.1 Human Remains	27
5.2 Unanticipated Significant or Complex Archaeological Discoveries	27
5.3 Unexpected Archaeological Discoveries during Construction	27
6. Reporting and Publication.....	28
6.1 Overview.....	28
6.2 Interim Report.....	28
6.3 Fieldwork Report.....	28
6.4 Post-excavation Assessment Report and Publication	29
6.5 Publication	30
6.6 OASIS.....	30
6.7 Archive and Data Management	30
7. SSWSI Requirements	32
7.1 General Approach.....	32
8. Monitoring Processes	33
8.1 Monitoring.....	33
8.2 Stakeholders and Statutory Roles	33
8.3 Site Meetings	33
8.4 Progress Reports	34
8.5 Approvals and Sign-Off of Archaeological Mitigation Sites	34
9. Public Outreach and Community Engagement.....	35
9.1 General Approach.....	35
10. Variations to Scheme Design.....	36
11. General Health and Safety Requirements	37
12. Archaeological Mitigation Areas.....	39
13. References	56

Abbreviations	59
Annex A Figures	60

Figures

Figure 1: Site Location	61
Figure 2: Field Number Plan for Solar PV Site	62
Figure 3: Archaeological Mitigation Areas Overview (Solar PV Site).....	63
Figure 4: Areas for Trial Trench Evaluation (Solar PV Site).....	64
Figure 5: Areas for Geophysical Survey (Grid Connection Corridor	65

Tables

Table 1: Relevant Regional Research Agenda Strategic Objectives	16
Table 2 Schedule of Archaeological Mitigation Areas	22

Executive Summary

- ES1 This document presents the Framework Archaeological Mitigation Strategy (AMS) which sets out the scope and guiding principles for the planning and implementation of further evaluation surveys and proposed archaeological mitigation works to be undertaken in relation to the Development Consent Order (DCO) application for Fenwick Solar Farm (hereafter referred to as 'the Scheme').
- ES2 This document outlines the proposed mitigation measures that the Applicant will implement, as well as the scope of further evaluation surveys to be undertaken within the Order limits, and sets out the roles and responsibilities designed to ensure that the evaluation and mitigation works are carried out. All works detailed in this document will be undertaken post-consent.
- ES3 Following completion of further evaluation surveys to be undertaken within the Order limits, and subject to detailed design, the mitigation strategies will be refined and confirmed for each area and a Final AMS (which must be substantially in accordance with this Framework AMS) will be issued in accordance with Requirement 10 of Schedule 2 of the **Draft DCO [EN010152/APP/3.1]**.
- ES4 The following evaluation surveys and mitigation strategies are set out within this document:
- a. Trial trench evaluation within Fields NE3, NE8 and NE10 of the Solar PV Site;
 - b. Geophysical survey within the Grid Connection Corridor;
 - c. Preservation in-situ of archaeological remains through avoidance by design within the Solar PV Site and Grid Connection Corridor;
 - d. Preservation in-situ of archaeological remains through the use of surface-mounted pre-cast concrete blocks within the Solar PV Site;
 - e. Archaeological strip, map and record within the Solar PV Site and Grid Connection Corridor;
 - f. Archaeological watching brief within Solar PV Site; and
 - g. Archaeological watching brief within the Grid Connection Corridor.

1. Introduction

1.1 Overview

- 1.1.1 This document presents the Framework Archaeological Mitigation Strategy (AMS) which sets out the scope and guiding principles for the planning and implementation of further evaluation surveys and proposed archaeological mitigation works to be undertaken in relation to the Development Consent Order (DCO) application for Fenwick Solar Farm (hereafter referred to as 'the Scheme').
- 1.1.2 The Scheme involves the construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning of a solar photovoltaic (PV) electricity generation facility with a capacity exceeding 50 megawatts (MW). It will connect to the National Grid either at the Existing National Grid Thorpe Marsh Substation or via the Grid Connection Line Drop, with both options including necessary associated infrastructure. Since the proposed generating capacity surpasses 50 MW, the Scheme is classified as a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP), requiring consent through a Development Consent Order (DCO) under the Planning Act 2008 (Ref. 1). Further details on the Scheme can be found in **ES Volume I Chapter 2: The Scheme [EN010152/APP/6.1]**.
- 1.1.3 The Scheme is to be located on land shown on **ES Volume II Figure 1-2: Site Boundary Plan [EN010152/APP/6.2]**. The Scheme comprises the Solar PV Site, Grid Connection Corridor, and the Existing National Grid Thorpe Marsh Substation as shown on **ES Volume II Figure 1-3: Elements of the Site [EN010152/APP/6.2]**. The land required for these elements is collectively referred to as the 'Order limits'.
- 1.1.4 This document outlines proposed archaeological mitigation measures that the Applicant will implement, as well as the scope of further archaeological evaluation surveys to be undertaken within the Order limits, and sets out the roles and responsibilities designed to ensure that the evaluation and mitigation works are carried out. All works detailed in this document will be undertaken post-consent and will be secured via the Final AMS (which must be substantially in accordance with this Framework AMS) in accordance with Requirement 10 of Schedule 2 of the **Draft DCO [EN010152/APP/3.1]**.

1.2 Purpose and Structure

- 1.2.1 The purpose of the Framework AMS is to set out the scope and methods proposed to mitigate effects of the Scheme on heritage assets within the Order limits, in order to secure compliance with relevant national and local planning policies.
- 1.2.2 This document describes the principles to be applied in undertaking archaeological evaluation and mitigation works, including strategies for the protection of archaeological remains, and for the investigation, recording and analysis of such remains that will be impacted as a result of the Scheme.
- 1.2.3 The Framework AMS is structured as follows:
- a. Section 1 presents an overview of this document, including the purpose and structure of the Framework AMS including aims and objectives, and the roles and responsibilities of each party to ensure the implementation of the Framework AMS.

- b. Section 2 presents an overview of the archaeological baseline and includes a summary of archaeological surveys that have been carried out for the Scheme;
 - c. Section 3 describes the archaeological evaluation surveys required to be undertaken within the Solar PV Site and Grid Connection Corridor;
 - d. Section 4 describes the potential archaeological mitigation strategies that may be deployed within the Order limits;
 - e. Section 5 sets out the protocols for unexpected archaeological discoveries;
 - f. Section 6 outlines the protocols for reporting and publication, including archiving requirements;
 - g. Section 7 sets out the requirement for and outline structure for Site-Specific Written Schemes of Investigation (SSWSIs);
 - h. Section 8 sets out the protocols for monitoring and approvals;
 - i. Section 9 outlines the requirements for public outreach and community engagement;
 - j. Section 10 outlines the protocols for variations to Scheme design; and
 - k. Section 11 provides a general overview of the Health and Safety requirements of the project.
- 1.2.4 This Framework AMS is supported by the following figures at the end of this report:
- a. Figure 1 – Site Location Plan
 - b. Figure 2 – Field Number Plan (Solar PV Site)
 - c. Figure 3 – Archaeological Mitigation Areas (Overview)
 - d. Figure 4 – Areas for Trial Trench Evaluation
 - e. Figure 5 – Areas for Geophysical Survey

1.3 Status of this document

- 1.3.1 This Framework AMS has been prepared for submission at Examination Deadline 1. A **Draft AMS [EN010152/APP/7.12]** was submitted alongside the ES and was based on the interim results of the trial trench evaluation undertaken within the Solar PV Site.
- 1.3.2 This document takes into account the final trial trench evaluation assessment report provided in **Appendix 7-5 [EN010152/APP/6.3]** and summarised in the **Cultural Heritage Desk-based Assessment (Appendix 7-2 [EN010152/APP/6.3])**.
- 1.3.3 This Framework AMS has been agreed in principle with South Yorkshire Archaeology Service (SYAS), who are acting as the Archaeological Advisors on behalf of the City of Doncaster Council (CDC).
- 1.3.4 Following completion of further evaluation surveys within the Order limits and subject to detailed design, the Final AMS will be prepared in consultation with the Archaeological Advisor to CDC and provided to CDC for approval, in accordance with Schedule 2 of the **Draft DCO [EN010152/APP/3.1]**.

1.4 Roles and Responsibilities

- 1.4.1 The Applicant will establish the appropriate roles and responsibilities for site staff as set out in the **Framework Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [EN010152/APP/7.7]**.
- 1.4.2 The Archaeological Advisor to CDC will be responsible for confirming that the requirements of the DCO are met, in accordance with any conditions relating to archaeology. The Archaeological Advisor to CDC will be responsible for final sign off and approval of all mitigation measures.
- 1.4.3 The Applicant will appoint an Archaeological Clerk of Works (ACoW) for the Scheme. The ACoW, working on behalf of the Applicant, will be responsible for liaising with the Archaeological Advisor to CDC to ensure that the evaluation and mitigation measures are correctly implemented, monitored, and maintained during the construction phase of the works. This will include monitoring the Archaeological Contractor's work to ensure compliance with the SSWSIs and this Framework AMS and monitoring the specific construction activities to ensure compliance with all archaeological mitigation requirements, including protection measures, set out in the **Outline Design Parameters Statement [EN010152/APP/7.4]**, **Framework CEMP [EN010152/APP/7.7]** and Framework AMS.
- 1.4.4 The Applicant will appoint an Archaeological Contractor to carry out the archaeological evaluation and mitigation fieldwork. The Archaeological Contractor will be responsible for the production of SSWSIs for each stage of archaeological investigation (refer to Section 7).

1.5 Aims and Objectives

Aims

- 1.5.1 The overall aim of this Framework AMS is to ensure a robust methodology is confirmed to mitigate the impacts of the Scheme on archaeological remains. The Final AMS will confirm that priority will be given to the preservation in-situ of archaeological remains, and where avoidance is not possible, it will detail the methodology for archaeological excavation and recording.

General Objectives

- 1.5.2 The general objectives of this Framework AMS are:
 - a. Confirming the approved methodology for completion of an appropriately detailed record of the archaeological resource that will be impacted as a result of the Scheme;
 - b. Providing a methodology for recording (where practicable) the nature, depth, extent, character and date of archaeological deposits or features encountered in order to successfully fulfil the research aims of the project;
 - c. Providing a methodology for recording the condition or state of preservation of any archaeological deposits or features encountered in order to successfully fulfil the research aims of the project;
 - d. Providing a methodology for recording and recovery of an adequate sample of the range, quality and quantity of artefactual and

environmental evidence present in order to successfully fulfil the research aims of the project; and

- e. Providing a methodology for the interpretation of the archaeology of the Order limits within its local, regional and national archaeological context.

1.5.3 The Framework AMS ensures that a comprehensive and structured record is produced that takes into account relevant research agendas and research themes, as well as the results of relevant archaeological investigations undertaken within and adjacent to the Order limits.

1.5.4 The Framework AMS confirms an approved methodology to ensure that a report that is commensurate with the significance of the findings is produced.

2. Background Information

2.1 Site Location, Topography and Geology

- 2.1.1 The Solar PV Site is located immediately adjacent to the east of the village of Fenwick, and approximately 1 km west and 1 km north of the villages of Sykehouse and Moss respectively.
- 2.1.2 The Solar PV Site is formed predominantly of agricultural fields, mainly under arable production with some areas of pasture, interspersed with individual trees, hedgerows, tree belts and farm access tracks. Elevations within the Solar PV Site are relatively flat, measuring between 6 m to 8 m above Ordnance Datum (aOD).
- 2.1.3 The Solar PV Site is underlain by a relatively consistent geology of the Sherwood Sandstone Group. This, in turn, is overlain by a superficial geology of laminated silts and clays of the Hemingbrough Glaciolacustrine Formation. Occasionally, within this dominant geology, are pockets of superficial sand of the Brighton Sand Formation. These are located mainly to the south and east of Fenwick, and are generally beyond the Solar PV Site.
- 2.1.4 The Grid Connection Corridor extends for approximately 6.3 km from the southern extent of the Solar PV Site towards the Existing National Grid Thorpe Marsh Substation. The land within the Grid Connection Corridor is predominantly agricultural fields and is relatively flat, as it extends from the Solar PV Site, measuring approximately 7 m aOD and rising to 12 m aOD as it reaches Thorpe in Balne. The elevation then decreases to approximately 2 m aOD in the fields to the north of the Existing National Grid Thorpe Marsh Substation.
- 2.1.5 The Grid Connection Corridor is underlain by a solid geology of sandstone of the Chester Formation. Overlying this, the superficial geology is also mainly composed of laminated silts and clays of the Hemingbrough Glaciolacustrine Formation. In the area immediately surrounding the Existing National Grid Thorpe Marsh Substation, the superficial geology is composed of alluvial clays, sands and silts surrounding the course of the River Don.

2.2 Archaeological Baseline

- 2.2.1 The archaeological baseline presented below is drawn from the results of **ES Volume III Appendix 7-2: Cultural Heritage Desk-Based Assessment [EN010152/APP/6.3]**, **ES Volume III Appendix 7-4: Geophysical Survey Report [EN010152/APP/6.3]**, and **ES Volume III Appendix 7-5: Trial Trench Evaluation Report [EN010152/APP/6.3]**.
- 2.2.2 Heritage assets are presented in **ES Volume III Appendix 7-3: Cultural Heritage Gazetteer of Heritage Assets [EN010152/APP/6.3]** and shown on **ES Volume II Figure 7-1: Designated Heritage Assets [EN010152/APP/6.2]** and **ES Volume II Figure 7-2: Non-Designated Heritage Assets [EN010152/APP/6.2]**.
- 2.2.3 These documents should be referred to in full, as they provide a detailed archaeological and historical narrative for the Order limits.

Solar PV Site

- 2.2.4 A series of archaeological investigations comprising geophysical survey and trial trench evaluation has been undertaken for the Scheme which has identified the archaeological resource within the Solar PV Site.
- 2.2.5 Only those areas of archaeological activity within each field which have been identified in consultation with the Archaeological Advisor to CDC as requiring mitigation are summarised below on a field-by-field basis. The individual fields that comprise the Solar PV Site are referenced by a unique identification number: NW1, NW2, SE1, SE2 etc. (refer to Figure 2 at the end of this report).

Field NW1 (AEC004)

- 2.2.6 At the centre of the northern extent of the field, the geophysical survey identified a series of irregular and linear anomalies, seemingly forming rectilinear shapes. To the east of these are two longer, connected, linear anomalies which share their rough alignment. These responses seem likely to represent the remains of Iron Age or Romano-British settlement, perhaps with an associated field system.
- 2.2.7 Trench 4 identified a single N-S orientated gully which correlates with an anomaly identified on the geophysical survey, and which contained small quantities of charcoal and heat affected stones at its base. No finds were recovered from this feature. Trench 6 contained two parallel ditches orientated NW-SE, one of which was similar in dimensions/form and may be contemporary with the gully identified in Trench 4. No finds were recovered from these features.
- 2.2.8 Trench 5 contained a sequence of N-S orientated ditches, a NE-SW orientated ditch, and three pits, all of which correlate with anomalies identified on the geophysical survey. Within one of the pits, which was rectangular in shape with vertical sides, a coin was recovered, as well as pottery dating to the Romano-British period and a large quantity of charcoal. Given the unusual shape of this feature and charcoal content, this may represent part of an oven or kiln. Further Roman pottery was recovered from two other pits within this trench.

Field NW5 (AEC005)

- 2.2.9 The geophysical survey within this field identified a series of parallel linear anomalies, aligned roughly N-S and E-W, which seem most likely to represent modern field drainage. Older field drainage, aligned NE-SW, also seems to underlie the later field drains. Aligned NE-SW, a major gas main, also noted in Fields NW2 and NW9, bisects the field close to its centre. An E-W aligned field boundary, also present on 19th and early 20th century mapping, is recorded towards the northern extent of the field. At the northwesternmost extent of this field is a prominent rectilinear response enclosing at least one circular anomaly. This group of features may represent the remains of multi-phase Iron Age or Romano-British settlement activity.
- 2.2.10 Trenches 41, 42 and 43 identified a series of intercutting rectilinear and circular features in the northwestern corner of this field which largely correlate with the geophysical survey anomalies and likely represent an Iron Age/Romano-British settlement enclosure.

- 2.2.11 Within the centre of Trench 43, a north-south aligned ditch correlating with the geophysical survey anomaly was identified which forms the western extent of a rectilinear enclosure. Roman pottery and animal bone were identified within the ditch. Towards the east of the trench and internal to the enclosure was a curvilinear section of ditch and two oval pits. Within the ditch, pottery of possible prehistoric or Romano-British date was recovered, and further Roman pottery and animal bone were recovered from within the pits.
- 2.2.12 Within Trench 42, two parts of a ring ditch were identified which correlated with the geophysical survey anomaly. No finds were recovered from this feature. Within the ring ditch, the corner of a separate enclosure which possibly forms the eastern extent of the enclosure identified in Trench 43 was observed but not excavated.
- 2.2.13 A further north-south aligned ditch was identified in Trench 41 which corresponds to a geophysical survey anomaly, and which may form a second rectilinear enclosure to the east of the enclosure identified in Trench 43. No finds were recovered from this feature.
- 2.2.14 These features likely represent a multi-phase settlement area characterised by movement of enclosure boundaries/recutting of existing ditches.

Field NW7 (AEC008)

- 2.2.15 At the centre of the southern part of the field is a small set of tightly packed linear and curvilinear anomalies identified on the geophysical survey, which may represent the remains of Iron Age or Romano-British settlement.
- 2.2.16 Trench 82 identified a rectangular ditched enclosure which correlated with the geophysical survey anomalies, and also identified the northern return of the enclosure which was not identified on the geophysical survey. Internal to the enclosure, three pits, three ditches and a gully were identified, and three further pits were identified outside of the enclosure to the south. Pottery dating to the Romano-British period, as well as ceramic building material (CBM), animal bone and metal finds were recovered from the features within this trench (AEC008).
- 2.2.17 In Trench 84, further linear features were identified, one of which correlates with a geophysical anomaly which extends from the southern arm of the enclosure identified in Trench 82. Roman pottery sherds and slag were identified within these features. In addition, two pits were identified within the trench, one of which contained CBM.

Field NW9 (AEC006; AEC007)

- 2.2.18 The geophysical survey within this field identified widely spaced parallel linear anomalies, likely representing modern field drainage similar to that present in many of the surrounding fields. Also present are N-S and E-W aligned historic field boundaries corresponding with those present on historic mapping. Beyond this, the northern part of the field is bisected by a large linear anomaly representing a major gas main, which runs through this part of the Solar PV Site.
- 2.2.19 In the immediate area around the gas main, some weak curving and linear anomalies (AEC006) are also present, which may represent a focus of Iron Age or Romano-British settlement extending along the southern bank of the

River Went. Given the strong response produced by the gas main, these much weaker anomalies do not show clearly in the data, and their interpretation is tentative. However, an archaeological origin is most likely based on their form and similarity to other archaeological anomalies identified in surrounding fields. No trenches were excavated to target these features due to the health and safety buffer zones around the gas main. In addition, within the southwestern corner of the field, a closely-spaced grouping of irregular anomalies were identified, however, an interpretation is uncertain due to their irregular form and lack of apparent pattern.

- 2.2.20 Trench 117 identified a cluster of features towards the eastern end of the trench which was recorded on the geophysical survey as a cluster of irregular amorphous anomalies with no apparent pattern. The features comprised a small pit which contained cremated animal bone and a ditch/pit feature which contained Roman pottery. The trench was expanded to expose a ring ditch with a wide southeast facing entrance and internal pits and a possible hearth. A sequence of two NW-SE orientated ditches, truncated by multiple pits were identified around the entrance to the ring ditch. Roman pottery, CBM, and charred remains were identified within these features (AEC007).

Field NW10 (AEC009)

- 2.2.21 This field has been subject to the spreading of 'green manure' which has compromised the integrity of the geophysical survey data. The geophysical survey did not identify any anomalies that could represent possible archaeological remains; however, given that the survey data is of limited reliability, the presence of archaeological remains cannot be ruled out.
- 2.2.22 Within Trench 118, a narrow ring ditch was identified, as well as two ditches orientated roughly E-W to the north of the ring ditch. No finds were recovered from any of these features.

Field NE1 (AEC019 – 02791/01)

- 2.2.23 The geophysical survey within this field identified widely spaced, parallel, linear anomalies, which are interpreted as modern field drainage in line with that present in many of the surrounding fields. Also present are linear anomalies representative of historic field boundaries corresponding with those present on historic mapping. Beyond this, the northern extent of the field is bisected by a large linear anomaly representing a major gas main, which runs through this part of the Solar PV Site. Close to the northern extent of the field, an unclassified cropmark (02791/01) is marked on the Historic Environment Records (HER) data for the area, but no clear response is present within the geophysical survey at the location marked. A linear anomaly representing a former field boundary extends through this part of the field where the HER datapoint is marked, however, no further anomalies were detected that could be of possible archaeological origin.
- 2.2.24 Trench 136 contained an E-W aligned ditch which contained Iron Age/Roman pottery fragments, animal bone, CBM and metal finds. The ditch was later cut by a modern land drain. To the north of the ditch, a gully on the same alignment was identified which was heavily disturbed by rooting and contained no finds.

- 2.2.25 Trench 137 contained five ditches, all on a roughly N-S alignment. A single sherd of Roman samian ware pottery was recovered from one of the ditches. Trenches 144, 148 and 153 also identified a N-S aligned feature that extends through the length of this field. These features correlate with the latest phase of modern drainage ditches which extend from/to the River Went to the north.
- 2.2.26 Trench 138 confirmed the presence of the former field boundary which extends N-S through this field. Modern material was recovered from the backfill.
- 2.2.27 Whilst no single trench directly targeted the data point which marks the location of the unclassified cropmark (02791/01) identified on the HER, the trenches did target the anomalies in this immediate area that could potentially represent archaeological features. The trenches in the immediate vicinity of this data point identified a series of ditches on N-S and E-W alignments which may represent a continuation of the Iron Age/Romano-British settlement activity seen in nearby fields. Trench 142 targeted the E-W anomaly which continues through where the HER data point is marked, and this feature was confirmed to be a former field boundary which continues east and west through adjacent fields.

Field NE8 (05631)

- 2.2.28 The geophysical survey data within this field is largely characterised by a closely spaced pattern of N-S linear anomalies, suggestive of historic ridge and furrow cultivation. Cutting across this, a series of short E-W aligned linear features suggest later field drainage laid across the ridge and furrow at a later date. Close to the centre of the field, a group of two or three conjoined rectilinear features are present, overlain by the ridge and furrow. Within these rectilinear features, curving and circular responses are discernible, strongly suggesting these relate to an area of Iron Age or Romano-British settlement activity (05631).
- 2.2.29 No trenches were undertaken within this field due to ecological constraints (proximity to Great Crested Newt habitats).

Field NE9 (AEC010; AEC011)

- 2.2.30 The geophysical survey data within this field includes clear evidence of modern field drainage, characterised by linear anomalies which cover most of the field. Further linear anomalies on a differing alignment suggest the potential remains of historic ridge and furrow cultivation. Beyond this, two defined areas of rectilinear responses suggest the presence of archaeological features related to Iron Age or Romano-British settlement activity. The most northerly of these areas, adjacent to the River Went, comprises a series of linear anomalies seemingly forming rectangular/square enclosures. To the southeast, close to the field's eastern margin, a more defined area of activity is present which appears to represent one or two rectilinear enclosures with at least one possible roundhouse within them. These remains are likely to relate to an area of Iron Age or Romano-British settlement activity.
- 2.2.31 In the northernmost area of potential archaeological activity (AEC010), Trench 219 contained a shallow ring ditch (20 cm deep) which was devoid of

finds and an E-W aligned ditch which contained two sherds of pottery of possible Iron Age or early Saxon date.

- 2.2.32 Trench 220 contained a small ditch orientated N-S. The ditch was comparable in form to the ditch identified in Trench 219 albeit smaller in dimensions and could be associated with the same rectangular sequence of ditches. The parallel anomaly to the west of this ditch was not identified in Trench 220. Based on the dimensions and distance between the ditches, these features could represent an Iron Age/Romano-British enclosure.
- 2.2.33 Trenches 224, 226 and 230 were positioned to target the cluster of geophysical anomalies towards the eastern extent of the field (AEC011).
- 2.2.34 Trench 224 contained three ditches orientated E-W, one of which correlates with the northern part of the square enclosure identified on the geophysical survey. Roman pottery sherds were recovered from this ditch.
- 2.2.35 Trench 226 contained two ditches forming the east and west sides of the square enclosure, whose northern side was seen in Trench 224. One of the ditches contained Roman pottery sherds. Within the enclosure, two further ditches were identified which may form internal divisions within the enclosure, one on a N-S alignment and the other on a NW-SE alignment. Roman pottery sherds were recovered from these ditches. The NW-SE aligned ditch appeared to cut or be cut by multiple pits, one of which was excavated.
- 2.2.36 Trench 230 contained two ditches at its southern end which likely define the southern limit of the enclosure, one of which contained prehistoric or early Romano-British pottery. The geophysical survey suggested these could represent a trackway, however, it is more likely they represent an early phase of the enclosure recorded in Trenches 224 and 226. The ditches were not observed in any adjacent trenches to suggest a continuation of a possible trackway. Within what would be the centre of the enclosure area, a large pit was identified which contained daub and burnt material. Postholes were also observed around the edge of the pit indicating a possible structure. Towards the north of the trench, a N-S aligned curvilinear feature, which was also identified in Trench 226, was excavated. The feature may represent part of an enclosure or a possible ring ditch. No finds were recovered from this feature.

Field NE10 (05632)

- 2.2.37 The geophysical survey data within this field includes clear evidence of curving linear anomalies, which suggest the presence of historic ridge and furrow ploughing. These anomalies accord with earthworks of ridge and furrow which were identified during the site walkover survey in this field. Beyond this evidence of historic agriculture, this field includes a relatively dense band of probable archaeological remains extending along its southeastern margin, adjacent to the Fleet Drain. Here, a series of rectilinear responses extend at right-angles away from the watercourse, forming a chain of rectangular responses and circular responses. These seem most likely to represent Iron Age and/or Romano-British settlement activity (05632).
- 2.2.38 No trenches were undertaken within this field due to ecological constraints (proximity to Great Crested Newt habitats).

Field NE11 (AEC012 - 05633)

- 2.2.39 Across the western and central areas of the field, the geophysical survey identified widely spaced, parallel, linear anomalies running in a rough N-S alignment which illustrate a pattern of modern field drainage in line with that present in many of the surrounding fields. Beyond this evidence of agricultural practice, the field includes a series of rectilinear responses extending at right-angles away from the Fleet Drain, forming a chain of rectangular enclosures that appear to continue along the Fleet Drain within Fields NE8 and NE10 towards the southwest, and identified as HER points (05631) and (05632). These features were not targeted by trenches as this part of the field has been excluded from development and forms part of the Ecological Mitigation Area and Heritage Buffer Area.
- 2.2.40 Towards the northern extent of this field, and close to the River Went, a series of closely spaced linear responses seem to form a rectangular enclosure with at least one internal circular feature and marked by HER point (05633). These features seem most likely to represent Iron Age and/or Romano-British settlement activity.
- 2.2.41 Trenches 248, 249, 251 and 253 were positioned to target the rectangular enclosure located towards the northern boundary of the field. Trench 248 contained a curvilinear ditch forming part of a ring ditch identified on the geophysical survey. Fragments of quern stone were recovered from within the ring ditch. An E-W aligned ditch which correlates with the southern limit of a rectangular/square enclosure was also identified in this trench. Roman pottery sherds, CBM and animal bone were recovered from this ditch. Towards the south of this trench a wide E-W ditch was identified which was not recorded on the geophysical survey. The ditch reached a depth of 1.1 m and had a gradually sloping edge, steepening to a near vertical slope. Roman pottery was recovered from this feature. This feature may represent a field boundary/division and could date to the Romano-British period.
- 2.2.42 Trench 249 contained four N-S orientated ditches, two of which correspond with geophysical anomalies that seemingly form part of the larger rectangular enclosure. Roman pottery was recovered from these ditches. These linear features likely represent internal and external divisions of a rectangular enclosure.
- 2.2.43 Trench 251 contained a single N-S orientated ditch which likely represents the eastern limit of the rectangular enclosure identified in Trenches 248 and 249. A post-pipe (a void in the ground that was once filled by a wooden post) was identified on the southeast side of the ditch, suggesting possible evidence of a revetment. Roman CBM was recovered from this feature.
- 2.2.44 Trench 253 contained two N-S orientated ditches. These ditches correlate with drainage features identified on the geophysical survey; However, one of the ditches could be the continuation of a N-S ditch identified in Trench 249. A NE-SW orientated ditch was also identified in this trench and could possibly form the southern extent of the rectangular enclosure identified in Trenches 248 and 249. No finds were recovered from the features in Trench 253.

Field SW3 (AEC020)

- 2.2.45 This field has been subject to the spreading of 'green manure' which has compromised the integrity of the geophysical survey data. The geophysical

survey did not identify any anomalies that could represent possible archaeological remains, however, given that the survey data is of limited reliability, the presence of archaeological remains cannot be ruled out.

- 2.2.46 A concentration of features comprising ditches, gullies and pits that appear to be contemporary or associated with each other are located in Trenches 398, 399 and 407.
- 2.2.47 Trench 398 contained two parallel E-W orientated ditches that do not correlate with geophysical survey anomalies and do not continue beyond this trench into adjacent trenches, but which could form the return of ditches seen in Trench 399.
- 2.2.48 Trench 399 contained a series of sub-circular pits, a post-hole and two ditches/gullies. Roman pottery was recovered from the features. The features do not correlate with anomalies on the geophysical survey; however, the ditch orientated NE-SW could be an extension of the similarly aligned ditch identified in Trench 407 to the south. Iron Age pottery was recovered from one of the gullies and Roman pottery was recovered from one of the pits.
- 2.2.49 Trench 407 contained two narrow gullies on a N-S orientation which likely represent former drainage ditches dividing the field. Between the two gullies were two pits of relatively shallow depth (0.16 m – 0.22 m deep) which contained no finds. A ditch orientated NE-SW was located at the western end of the trench, which does not correlate with anomalies on the geophysical survey but could be an extension of the NE-SW ditch identified in Trench 399 to the north.

Field SW6 (AEC021)

- 2.2.50 This field has been subject to the spreading of 'green manure' which has compromised the integrity of the geophysical survey data. The geophysical survey did not identify any anomalies that could represent possible archaeological remains, however, given that the survey data is of limited reliability, the presence of archaeological remains cannot be ruled out.
- 2.2.51 Trench 473 contained two intersecting ditches which had similar fills and dimensions, one of which produced Iron Age pottery, and the other produced Iron Age or possibly early Saxon and Roman pottery. Trench 474 contained two narrow ditches, both containing similar grey clay fills, with early prehistoric pottery recovered from one. Trench 476 contained two gullies and a ditch all on an E-W alignment, as well as a single pit. No finds were recovered from these features. Whilst the relationship between these features is unclear, the linear features could represent an enclosure of Iron Age / Romano-British date.

Field SW8 (AEC015)

- 2.2.52 The geophysical survey within this field identified linear trends suggestive of historic cultivation and/or field drainage. Across the central part of the field, running roughly E-W, a curving anomaly corresponds to a field boundary present on historic mapping. To the north of this, within the central part of the northern half of the field, an area of discontinuous linear and rectilinear anomalies suggests a series of overlapping enclosures containing a number of circular structures, presumably ring ditches of Iron Age date. Surrounding this, other linear anomalies of a similar character may represent ditched

boundaries of trackways or fields, perhaps of a similar date. These anomalies may represent Iron Age and/or Romano-British settlement activity.

- 2.2.53 Trenches 509, 510 and 511 were positioned to target the cluster of geophysical survey anomalies located towards the eastern extent of the field. Within Trench 511, a dense concentration of archaeological features was identified, comprising ditches and pits. These features are likely to be internal to a larger rectangular enclosure, whose eastern and western limits were observed in Trenches 509 and 510 as ditches. Roman pottery and animal bone were recovered from the features.
- 2.2.54 Trench 515 contained two gullies at the southern end of the trench, approximately 9m apart. Given the slight curve of the gullies, it is possible that these form a ring ditch. A single sherd of Roman pottery was recovered from one of the gullies.
- 2.2.55 Within Trench 517, two ditches on a N-S alignment align with a former field boundary visible on the 1st edition Ordnance Survey (OS) map. In addition, a small cluster of pits were identified in this trench and a possible ditch terminus which contained fragments of CBM and two sherds of Roman pottery.

Field SW9 (AEC017; AEC018)

- 2.2.56 The geophysical survey within this field identified widely spaced parallel anomalies across its northern and southern extents. This is interpreted as modern field drainage similar to that present in many of the surrounding fields. Across the central part of the field, running E-W, a curving anomaly corresponds to a footpath and field boundary present on historic mapping. A further field boundary shown on the same mapping can be seen to correspond with a further anomaly extending southward to meet the field's current southern boundary. The geophysical survey did not identify any anomalies that could represent possible archaeological remains.
- 2.2.57 Trench 541 contained a ring ditch which contained prehistoric pottery fragments and daub, as well as heat affected stones. A small pit or post-hole was located immediately to the east of the ring ditch. A ditch was also noted, terminating within the area encompassed by the ring ditch (AEC018).
- 2.2.58 Within Trenches 564, 565, 569, 571, 574 and 575, a series of ditches on a NE-SW alignment were identified, which broadly correspond with a field system identified by cropmarks to the south in Field SW10 and is almost certainly a continuation of this field system. However, the ditches in this field were generally smaller and shallower than those identified in Field SW10 although this is likely the result of later truncation in this field, it could equally represent a different phase of historic activity on the same alignment. It is likely that these features form an Iron Age/Romano-British field system (AEC017).

Field SW10 (AEC016; AEC017)

- 2.2.59 This field was not subject to geophysical survey due to land access constraints.
- 2.2.60 Trenches 582, 585, 586, 588, 589, 590, 594, 596, 604, 606, 608, 610, 615, 620 and 630 were positioned to target a series of linear anomalies identified from cropmarks which likely represent an Iron Age/Romano-British field

system. The field system formed by ditches appears to be a co-axial style arrangement, typical of South Yorkshire, associated with a trackway extending from Trench 620 in the south, through to Trenches 589 and 586 as it extends to the north (AEC017). Towards the northeastern extent of this field system, adjacent to the possible trackway, a concentration of features were recorded in Trenches 582 and 586. These features could indicate an area of settlement activity within an enclosure (AEC016). Roman pottery sherds were recovered from features within these two trenches suggesting a possible focus of activity in this area, however, no internal features such as pits or ring ditches were observed in the trenches.

Field SE3 (AEC013; AEC014)

- 2.2.61 The geophysical survey data for this field is partly disturbed by the presence of overhead power lines and pylons which traverse its eastern side. More widely, the survey data illustrates a pattern of smaller former field boundaries which were likely removed to create a larger field, during the later medieval to post-medieval periods. Within the former fields, varied patterns of closely spaced linear anomalies suggest ridge and furrow cultivation and field drainage of likely post-medieval date. Closer to the northern margin of the field, along the line of the Fleet Drain, a number of curving and rectilinear anomalies are present. These seem to share alignments with possible archaeological features on the southern side of the Fleet Drain. Although weak, these anomalies may represent a spread of remains related to Iron Age and/or Romano-British settlement.
- 2.2.62 To the northeast of this field, Trenches 293, 294, 295, 296, 298 and 299 contained a series of linear features which correspond to geophysical anomalies and likely represent a field system. Pottery recovered from these features date to the Roman period. Towards the south of the field system, a series of pits were identified in Trench 299, most of which contained Roman pottery sherds and may represent a foci of settlement activity and possible internal features related to an enclosure not picked up in the trenches (AEC013).
- 2.2.63 Trenches 305, 306, 307, 309, 310, 311, 315 contained a series of substantial ditches broadly corresponding with the geophysical survey anomalies. The large ditch in Trench 305 is of post-medieval date and corresponds to a former field boundary recorded on the 1st edition OS map. Whereas the rest of the features are likely of Iron Age/Roman date, based on an assessment of the finds recovered (AEC013). These features do not appear to be physically connected to the activity identified in Trenches 293 to 299 but are likely to be of similar date.
- 2.2.64 These two areas of archaeological activity likely represent Iron Age/Romano-British enclosures and associated field systems.
- 2.2.65 Trench 330 located in the southeastern corner of the field contained a curvilinear ditch containing Roman pottery and burnt bone. This possibly forms a ring ditch with a terminus to the north. Between these two ditches, a gully was identified which contained heated pebbles and fragments of burnt bone. A larger ditch was identified towards the south of these features which contained a single sherd of Roman pottery, and which may represent an encompassing enclosure based on its similar form to those features in the north of the field (AEC014).

Grid Connection Corridor

- 2.2.66 The archaeological baseline for the Grid Connection Corridor has been developed based on desk-based assessment research.
- 2.2.67 **ES Volume III Appendix 7-2: Cultural Heritage Desk-Based Assessment [EN010152/APP/6.3]** identified a high potential for archaeological remains to be encountered within the Grid Connection Corridor, dating to the Iron Age, Roman, medieval and post-medieval periods.
- 2.2.68 Evaluation surveys are proposed to be undertaken post-DCO consent, if granted, (refer to Section 3) in order to further refine the baseline within the Grid Connection Corridor and this section will be updated following the results of those surveys.

2.3 Regional Research Framework and Agendas

- 2.3.1 Consideration of research agendas and themes is key to understanding the potential evidential significance of archaeological remains. The programme of archaeological evaluation and mitigation works will be carried out with the aim of addressing the general research parameters and objectives defined in the archaeological research frameworks for the region, including the South Yorkshire Historic Environment Research Framework (Ref. 18).
- 2.3.2 Based on the baseline evidence compiled to date, it is considered that the archaeological evaluation and mitigation works has the potential to inform the research questions outlined in the table below (Table 1). These themes will be reviewed and updated throughout the project. The strategy should be flexible, based on real-time information, and the agendas and themes reviewed during preparation of the SSWSIs, during each stage of archaeological fieldwork, and during preparation of the post-excavation assessment and fieldwork reports.

Table 1: Relevant Regional Research Agenda Strategic Objectives

Framework	Research Agenda	Research questions
South Yorkshire Historic Environment Research Framework	Iron Age and Romano-British	QSY0013: Can we develop a regional model for the Iron Age and Romano-British periods in South Yorkshire that does not rely on those proposed for southern England or adjacent areas such as East Yorkshire and York, where the character of the archaeology was very different?
South Yorkshire Historic Environment Research Framework	Iron Age and Romano-British	QSY0015: What sites and/or features were associated with the earliest Roman presence in South Yorkshire?
South Yorkshire Historic Environment Research Framework	Iron Age and Romano-British	QSY0020: How can we better understand the extent and character of unenclosed Iron Age settlements?

Framework	Research Agenda	Research questions
Research Framework		
South Yorkshire Historic Environment Research Framework	Iron Age and Romano-British	QSY0023: Are there any correlations between settlement form, date, and function during the Iron age and Romano-British periods?
South Yorkshire Historic Environment Research Framework	Iron Age and Romano-British	QSY0026: Can we shed further light upon the development of field and boundary systems?
South Yorkshire Historic Environment Research Framework	Iron Age and Romano-British	QSY0034: What were the reasons for variations in the form, shape, and size of Iron Age and Romano-British field systems and fields?
South Yorkshire Historic Environment Research Framework	Iron Age and Romano-British	QSY0043: Can any spatial patterning be identified within roundhouses in South Yorkshire?
South Yorkshire Historic Environment Research Framework	Iron Age and Romano-British	QSY0044: Can any clear traditions of the internal use of space within roundhouses and rectangular buildings be identified?
South Yorkshire Historic Environment Research Framework	Iron Age and Romano-British	QSY0050: What was the purpose of small Iron Age/Romano-British subcircular and subrectangular enclosures?

3. Scope of Archaeological Evaluation Surveys

3.1 Overview

- 3.1.1 At the time of submission of the ES, three fields (NE3, NE8 and NE10) within the Solar PV Site were inaccessible for trial trench evaluation due to ecological constraints and ground conditions.
- 3.1.2 In addition, at the time of submission of the ES, two options were under consideration for connection of the Solar PV Site to the grid, including a potential line drop from existing overhead power lines within the Solar PV Site itself, or through a Grid Connection Corridor to the Existing National Grid Thorpe Marsh Substation. As such, no archaeological evaluation surveys were undertaken within the Grid Connection Corridor option at the time of DCO submission.
- 3.1.3 All archaeological evaluation surveys will be carried out in accordance with this Framework AMS, the approved SSWSIs and any further specifications approved by the Archaeological Advisor to CDC. The works will be undertaken in accordance with the guidance provided by Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (CIfA), including the Code of Conduct (Ref. 2), the Universal Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation, (Ref. 6) the Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation (Ref. 5); the South Yorkshire Archaeology Service Standards for Archaeological Field Evaluation (Ref. 12), and other current and relevant good practice and standards and guidance.

3.2 Trial Trench Evaluation

- 3.2.1 Fields NE3, NE8 and NE10 located within the Solar PV Site (which were previously inaccessible) will be subject to trial trenching. The number and layout of the trenches has been agreed with the Archaeological Advisor to CDC and are shown on Figure 4 at the end of this report. All trenches measure 50 m (L) x 2 m (W).
- 3.2.2 A SSWSI (refer to Section 7) will be required setting out the full scope and methodology of this survey. The SSWSI will be prepared by the Archaeological Contractor and agreed with the Archaeological Advisor to CDC.
- 3.2.3 Following the completion of evaluation surveys within the Solar PV Site, mitigation measures may be required. Mitigation measures could include archaeological strip, map and record; or preservation in-situ through avoidance or through the use of surface-mounted pre-cast concrete blocks. A preliminary methodology for the potential mitigation measures is set out below in Section 4.

Aims and Objectives

General Aims

- 3.2.4 The general aims of the archaeological trial trench evaluation are to:
 - a. Provide additional information on the archaeological potential of the Solar PV Site; and

- b. Inform the requirement for and scope of any archaeological mitigation works that may be required.

General Objectives

- 3.2.5 In order to achieve the above aims, the general objectives of the archaeological trial trenching are to:
 - a. Test the results of the geophysical survey;
 - b. Confirm the presence or absence of surviving archaeological remains within the Solar PV Site;
 - c. Determine the location, nature, extent, date, condition, state of preservation, significance and complexity of any archaeological remains and palaeoenvironmental sequences;
 - d. Determine the likely range, quality and quantity of artefactual and environmental evidence present;
 - e. Interpret the archaeological remains within their local, regional and national archaeological context; and
 - f. Make available information about the archaeological resource within the Solar PV Site by reporting on the results of the archaeological trial trenching.

3.3 Geophysical Survey

- 3.3.1 If the Grid Connection Corridor option is selected for detailed design, archaeological evaluation surveys will be required to enhance the baseline assessment and provide sufficient information to develop an archaeological mitigation strategy for the Grid Connection Corridor. Subject to access agreements, geophysical survey of the Grid Connection Corridor is proposed in the first instance.
- 3.3.2 The route of the current Grid Connection Corridor option and the fields which may be suitable for geophysical survey depending on ground conditions and land-use, is shown on Figure 5 at the end of this report.
- 3.3.3 Following the completion of the geophysical survey, further evaluation surveys (such as trial trenching) may be required. The scope of any additional archaeological evaluation required will be agreed with the Archaeological Advisor to CDC and set out in a SSWSI to be produced by the Archaeological Contractor.
- 3.3.4 Following the completion of all required archaeological evaluation surveys within the Grid Connection Corridor, archaeological mitigation measures may be required. Mitigation measures may comprise an archaeological watching brief during construction; archaeological strip, map and record; or preservation in-situ through avoidance. A preliminary methodology for potential mitigation measures that may be required is set out below in Section 4.

Aims and Objectives

- 3.3.5 The general objectives of the geophysical survey are:
 - a. To investigate the archaeological potential of the Grid Connection Corridor;

- b. To assess the presence/absence of potential archaeological anomalies;
- c. To determine the level of risk that the archaeological resource would present to the Grid Connection Corridor option;
- d. To inform the emerging design; and
- e. To inform the scope of further evaluation and/or mitigation strategies.

4. Scope of Archaeological Mitigation Measures

4.1 Overview

- 4.1.1 The Scheme has been designed to mitigate impacts upon heritage assets where practicable. Heritage Buffer Areas have been incorporated into the Scheme design to enable preservation in-situ of potentially significant archaeological remains identified by the geophysical survey within Fields SE1, NE8, NE10 and NE12. Further information on embedded archaeological mitigation measures is provided in **ES Volume I Chapter 7: Cultural Heritage [EN010152/APP/6.1]**, **Outline Design Parameters Statement [EN010152/APP/7.4]**, and **Framework CEMP [EN010152/APP/7.7]**. These embedded mitigation areas are mapped on the **ES Volume II Figure 2-3: Indicative Site Layout Plan [EN010152/APP/6.2]** and set out below in Table [42](#).
- 4.1.2 For the purposes of this Framework AMS, the areas requiring mitigation have been identified and agreed with the Archaeological Advisor to CDC. Viable mitigation strategies for each mitigation area are set out in Table 2 below, which includes preservation in-situ (through avoidance or through use of surface-mounted concrete blocks) and strip, map and record.
- 4.1.3 The final mitigation strategies for each mitigation area will be confirmed in consultation with the Archaeological Advisor to CDC following the completion of further evaluation surveys and subject to detailed design and will be set out within the Final AMS.
- 4.1.4 In the first instance, priority will be given to the preservation in-situ of archaeological remains where viable. Preservation in-situ would be achieved through avoidance or through the use of surface-mounted concrete blocks. Where preservation in-situ is not viable, a programme of archaeological excavation and recording (strip, map and record or archaeological watching brief) will be undertaken.
- 4.1.5 All archaeological works will be carried out in accordance with this Framework AMS, the Final AMS and the approved SSWSIs and any further specifications required, in accordance with the requirements contained in Schedule 2 of the **Draft DCO [EN010152/APP/3.1]**. All archaeological work will be undertaken in accordance with the guidance provided by ClfA, including the Code of Conduct (Ref. 2), the Universal Guidance for Archaeological Excavation (Ref. 4), the Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Excavation (Ref. 3), the Universal Guidance for Archaeological Monitoring and Recording (Ref. 8) and the Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Monitoring and Recording (Ref. 7); the South Yorkshire Archaeology Service Archaeological Mitigation Standards and Guidance (Ref. 10) and Archaeological Watching Brief (Ref. 14), and other current and relevant good practice and standards and guidance.

4.2 Mitigation Strategies

- 4.2.1 Within the Solar PV Site, the archaeological mitigation strategies may comprise:

- a. Preservation in-situ through exclusion of the area from construction and operation and maintenance;
 - b. Preservation in-situ through the use of surface-mounted pre-cast concrete blocks;
 - c. Strip, map and record; and
 - d. Archaeological watching brief.
- 4.2.2 Within the Grid Connection Corridor, the archaeological mitigation strategies may comprise:
- a. Preservation in-situ through avoidance of archaeological remains or directional drilling;
 - b. Strip, map and record; and
 - c. Archaeological watching brief.
- 4.2.3 A schedule of the archaeological mitigation areas and possible mitigation strategies for each mitigation site is outlined in Table 2 and presented on Figure 3 at the end of this report.

Table 2 Schedule of Archaeological Mitigation Areas

Mitigation Area	Size (ha)	Location within Order Limits	ES Gazetteer Reference	Description	Mitigation Type
Area 1	0.66 ha	NW1 (Solar PV Site)	AEC004	Iron Age/Romano-British settlement remains identified during trial trench evaluation	Preservation in-situ (avoidance) or strip, map and record
Area 2	0.69 ha	NW5 (Solar PV Site)	AEC005	Iron Age/Romano-British settlement remains identified during trial trench evaluation	Strip, map and record
Area 3	0.18 ha	NW9 (Solar PV Site)	AEC007	Iron Age/Romano-British settlement remains identified during trial trench evaluation	Strip, map and record
Area 4	0.43 ha	NW7 (Solar PV Site)	AEC008	Iron Age/Romano-British settlement remains identified during trial trench evaluation	Preservation in-situ (avoidance) or strip, map and record
Area 5	0.27 ha	NW10 (Solar PV Site)	AEC009	Iron Age/Romano-British settlement remains identified	Strip, map and record

Mitigation Area	Size (ha)	Location within Order Limits	ES Gazetteer Reference	Description	Mitigation Type
				during trial trench evaluation	
Area 6	0.95 ha	NE9 (Solar PV Site)	AEC010	Iron Age/Romano-British settlement remains identified during trial trench evaluation	Preservation in-situ (avoidance or pre-cast concrete blocks) or strip, map and record
Area 7	0.46 ha	NE9 (Solar PV Site)	AEC011	Iron Age/Romano-British settlement remains identified during trial trench evaluation	Preservation in-situ (avoidance or pre-cast concrete blocks) or strip, map and record
Area 8	1 ha	NE11 (Solar PV Site)	AEC012 - 05633	Iron Age/Romano-British settlement remains identified during trial trench evaluation	Preservation in-situ (avoidance) or strip, map and record
Area 9	N/A	SE3 (Solar PV Site)	AEC013	Iron Age/Romano-British settlement remains identified during trial trench evaluation	Watching brief during any below ground works
Area 10	1.47 ha	SW8 (Solar PV Site)	AEC015	Iron Age/Romano-British settlement remains identified during trial trench evaluation	Preservation in-situ (avoidance or pre-cast concrete blocks) or strip, map and record
Area 11	1.41 ha	SW10 (Solar PV Site)	AEC016	Iron Age/Romano-British settlement remains identified during trial trench evaluation	Preservation in-situ (avoidance or pre-cast concrete blocks) or strip, map and record

Mitigation Area	Size (ha)	Location within Order Limits	ES Gazetteer Reference	Description	Mitigation Type
Area 12	N/A	SW9/SW10 (Solar PV Site)	AEC017	Iron Age/Romano-British settlement remains identified during trial trench evaluation	Watching brief of below ground works within BESS footprint and main construction compound
Area 13	0.13 ha	SW9 (Solar PV Site)	AEC018	Iron Age/Romano-British settlement remains identified during trial trench evaluation	Strip, map and record
Area 14	N/A	NE1 (Solar PV Site)	AEC019 – 02791/01	Iron Age/Romano-British settlement remains identified during trial trench evaluation	Watching brief of below ground works within landscape and ecological mitigation areas
Area 15	0.23 ha	SW3 (Solar PV Site)	AEC020	Iron Age/Romano-British settlement remains identified during trial trench evaluation	Strip, map and record
Area 16	0.39 ha	SW6 (Solar PV Site)	AEC021	Iron Age/Romano-British settlement remains identified during trial trench evaluation	Preservation in-situ (avoidance) or strip, map and record
Area 17	21 ha	NW8 , NW10 , NE8 , NE10 (Solar PV Site)	05631, 05632	Iron Age/Romano-British settlement remains recorded on the HER and identified during geophysical survey	Preservation in-situ (avoidance)
-		Grid Connection Corridor	-	Potential archaeological remains dating to the Iron Age, Romano, medieval and post-medieval periods	Archaeological watching brief, preservation in-situ (avoidance) or strip, map and record.

Preservation In-Situ

- 4.2.4 Within the Solar PV Site, areas identified for preservation in-situ will be mitigated through avoidance by design with the use of Heritage Buffer Areas embedded into the design of the Scheme, or where avoidance by design cannot be achieved, the use of pre-cast concrete blocks will be utilised in order to remove below ground impacts on archaeological remains.
- 4.2.5 Within the Grid Connection Corridor, areas identified for preservation in-situ will be mitigated through avoidance by design with the Scheme elements within the corridor micro-sited to avoid archaeological remains.
- 4.2.6 Where Heritage Buffer Areas have been embedded into the design of the Scheme to enable preservation in-situ of archaeological remains, these are shown on **ES Volume II Figure 2-3: Indicative Site Layout Plan [EN010152/APP/6.2]** and on Figure 3 (labelled as Preservation In-Situ – Avoidance) at the end of this report. During the construction and operation and maintenance phases, these mitigation sites will not be used for any construction or operation and maintenance related activities, access routes or laydown areas. Protective fencing will be installed around the perimeter of the archaeological mitigation site prior to the preliminary and main works construction phase, to prevent accidental damage during the works.
- 4.2.7 Notices prohibiting works within the fenced off area will be attached to the fencing. The protective fencing will be in place for the lifespan of the Scheme. Gaps may be retained within the fencing perimeter to allow for livestock / wildlife to enter / exit and for maintenance such as mowing.
- 4.2.8 The type of fencing to be installed is set out in the **Outline Design Parameters Statement [EN010152/APP/7.4]**. Mitigation sites within protective fencing shall be maintained in accordance with the **Framework Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [EN010152/APP/7.14]** and monitored by the ACoW as outlined in Section 8.
- 4.2.9 The construction details for the installation of pre-cast concrete blocks are set out in the **Framework CEMP [EN010152/APP/7.7]**. This includes a limit of 100 mm below ground level for any ground levelling required within these areas prior to the installation of concrete blocks.

Strip, Map and Record

- 4.2.10 The areas identified for strip, map and record will be stripped with mechanical plant to an archaeological specification which will be set out in a SSWSI to be produced by the Archaeological Contractor and approved by the Archaeological Advisor to CDC (refer to Section 7). The SSWSI will include a methodology for the stripping of topsoil, subsoil or other overburden to the correct archaeological horizon under the supervision of a qualified archaeologist, using mechanical plant with a toothless bucket. Mechanical plant will not be permitted to track over stripped areas until archaeological investigations at that location are complete. The Archaeological Contractor may deploy temporary fencing to demarcate the excavation area to ensure no plant inadvertently traverses the area during the works.

Archaeological Watching Brief

- 4.2.11 Within the Solar PV Site, three areas have been identified which require an archaeological watching brief.
- 4.2.12 Within Area 9, the archaeological watching brief will be required within any areas subject to below ground impacts including, but not limited to, topsoil/subsoil stripping for access tracks and other infrastructure, and trenching required for cabling.
- 4.2.13 Within Area 12, the archaeological watching brief will be required for any topsoil/subsoil stripping required in relation to the main construction compound and the BESS site.
- 4.2.14 Within Area 14, the archaeological watching brief will be required for any below ground works associated with landscaping and ecological mitigation works, including, but not limited to, 'scrapes' and starter pits required for planting.
- 4.2.15 Within the Grid Connection Corridor, an archaeological watching brief may be required where intrusive groundworks and topsoil stripping are required. The requirement for an archaeological watching brief within the Grid Connection Corridor will be confirmed in consultation with the Archaeological Advisor to CDC following the completion of further evaluation surveys in the corridor.
- 4.2.16 Should significant archaeological remains be identified within an archaeological watching brief area, targeted strip, map and record may be required to be implemented within areas of construction disturbance, which will be confirmed in consultation with the Archaeological Advisor to CDC.
- 4.2.17 All topsoil, subsoil or other overburden stripping across these areas will be undertaken using mechanical plant fitted with a toothless bucket to the correct archaeological horizon, under the supervision of a qualified archaeologist.
- 4.2.18 The Applicant, principal contractor, and/or any other groundworks contractors operating on site will allow sufficient time for any archaeological features to be excavated and recorded to meet the requirements of the SSWSI.

5. Procedures for Unexpected Archaeological Discoveries

5.1 Human Remains

- 5.1.1 Should human remains be discovered during the course of any archaeological fieldwork being undertaken for the Scheme, the remains will be covered and protected and left in-situ in the first instance, in accordance with current good practice. Should human remains be discovered, all works within the vicinity of the relevant area of the Order limits will immediately stop. The Archaeological Contractor will notify the ACoW and the H.M. Coroner with details of the remains immediately. The removal of human remains will only take place in accordance with a licence from the Ministry of Justice and under the appropriate Environmental Health regulations and the Burial Act 1857 (Ref. 19).

5.2 Unanticipated Significant or Complex Archaeological Discoveries

- 5.2.1 In the event of unanticipated significant or complex archaeological discoveries being made during the course of any archaeological fieldwork being undertaken for the Scheme, the Archaeological Contractor will notify the Applicant and the ACoW immediately. The ACoW will liaise with the Archaeological Advisor to CDC in order to determine an appropriate strategy for the excavation and recording of any such remains, and will liaise with the Archaeological Contractor and the Applicant to estimate the additional time and resources needed to complete the archaeological work should the remains require investigation beyond the scope set out within this Framework AMS and the SSWSIs.

5.3 Unexpected Archaeological Discoveries during Construction

- 5.3.1 In the event of unexpected archaeological discoveries being made during construction activities where no archaeological mitigation works are being undertaken, the Applicant will notify the ACoW immediately. It is anticipated that all construction works within the vicinity of the unexpected remains will be suspended until completion of any required archaeological excavation and recording is completed in that area.
- 5.3.2 An additional SSWSI may be required to set out the methodology for the recording of the archaeological remains, and to allow adequate time within the construction programme. The ACoW will liaise with the Archaeological Advisor to CDC in order to determine whether the remains require further investigation, and to estimate the additional time and resources needed to complete the archaeological investigation should it be required.

6. Reporting and Publication

6.1 Overview

- 6.1.1 All reporting, publication and archiving will be undertaken in accordance with this Framework AMS and the SSWSIs and will follow relevant archaeological standards and guidance, including but not limited to, those published by ClfA (Ref. 9) and SYAS (Ref. 10; Ref. 12; Ref. 14).

6.2 Interim Report

- 6.2.1 Interim reports will be prepared by the Archaeological Contractor for each stage of evaluation and mitigation works, and submitted to the ACoW and the Archaeological Advisor to CDC. The timings for these interim reports will be confirmed in consultation with the ACoW and the Archaeological Advisor to CDC prior to the start of works and set out within the SSWSI.

6.3 Fieldwork Report

- 6.3.1 Fieldwork reports will be required following the completion of each stage of archaeological evaluation and mitigation fieldwork.
- 6.3.2 A fieldwork report will be submitted in draft within four weeks of the completion of each stage of archaeological evaluation fieldwork. This timescale may be flexible subject to approval by the ACoW and the Archaeological Advisor to CDC.
- 6.3.3 If the results of the archaeological mitigation works are decided by the ACoW and the Archaeological Advisor to CDC to not be significant enough to warrant detailed analysis and publication, then a fieldwork report will be produced.
- 6.3.4 The content and scope of each fieldwork report will be dependent on the findings, but typically will include the following:
- a. A Quality Assurance sheet detailing as a minimum title, author, version, date, checked by, approved by;
 - b. OASIS (Online Access to the Index of Archaeological Investigations) Report Form;
 - c. A non-technical summary;
 - d. Site location drawing;
 - e. Archaeological and historical background;
 - f. Methodology;
 - g. Aims and objectives;
 - h. Results (to include full description, assessment of condition, quality and significance of the remains);
 - i. Statement of potential with recommendations;
 - j. A statement of the significance of the results in their local, regional and national context cross referenced to relevant research frameworks;

- k. Current and proposed arrangements for archive storage and curation (including recipient museum details);
 - l. References;
 - m. General and detailed plans showing the location of the survey accurately positioned on an OS base map (to a standard scale);
 - n. Detailed plans and sections illustrating archaeological features (to a standard scale);
 - o. Detailed drawings at appropriate scale(s) and format to sufficiently illustrate the results of the topographic survey;
 - p. Colour photographic plates illustrating the site setting, work in progress and discovered archaeological remains;
 - q. A complete matrix for each archaeological area, if appropriate;
 - r. A cross-referenced index of the project archive;
 - s. Site Selection Strategy; and
 - t. Data Management Plan.
- 6.3.5 A digital .pdf copy (complete with illustrations and plates) of the completed draft report will be submitted to the ACoW and the Archaeological Advisor to CDC for comment. In finalising the report, the comments of the ACoW and the Archaeological Advisor to CDC will be taken into account.
- 6.3.6 A digital record of the final report shall be submitted to the ACoW and the Archaeological Advisor to CDC, containing image files in JPEG or TIFF format, digital text files in Microsoft Word format, and illustrations in AutoCAD format or ArcGIS shapefile format. A fully collated version of the report shall be included in .pdf format.
- 6.3.7 Where fieldwork does not progress to a further stage and where, in consultation with the Archaeological Advisor to CDC, further specialist analysis is necessary to achieve the aims of the project, the recommendations set out in the specialists' reports should be completed and incorporated into an updated report.

6.4 Post-excavation Assessment Report and Publication

- 6.4.1 If the results of any archaeological fieldwork are of sufficient significance to warrant publication, the report may take the form of a 'Post-excavation Assessment Report' and will include an Updated Project Design (UPD) in accordance with the guidance and standards set out in Historic England's Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (Ref. 17).
- 6.4.2 The Post-excavation Assessment Report and UPD will, as a minimum, present:
- a. A summary of the project background, original aims and objectives.
 - b. An integrated description of the results by period for each area of archaeological mitigation.
 - c. A quantification of each artefact and ecofact type recovered during the mitigation works.
 - d. An assessment of how the results of the archaeological mitigation address the original and any new research objectives.

- e. A proposal for a revised set of research objectives.
- f. Recommendations for further analysis and publication.

6.4.3 If detailed analysis and publication are recommended by UPD, a stage of post-excavation analysis and publication will be required. The post-excavation analysis stage of the project will comprise the detailed quantification, analysis and reporting of the recorded archaeological remains (contextual records), artefacts and ecofacts recovered during the programme of archaeological mitigation. The post-excavation analysis will be undertaken by the Archaeological Contractor supported by external specialists as appropriate.

6.5 Publication

- 6.5.1 If significant results are obtained and it is likely that further stages of archaeological work will be required (i.e. additional watching brief areas); or, if investigation of a single (or several closely related sites) is undertaken over several phases of archaeological work; publication shall be deferred until such time as the archaeological works are substantially complete.
- 6.5.2 The format of any publication shall be commensurate with the significance of the archaeological results and will be confirmed in consultation with the ACoW and the Archaeological Advisor to CDC. Online publication formats as well as traditional publication formats will be considered.
- 6.5.3 If the results merit it, a popular publication report and illustrated document explaining the results in layman's terms should be produced. The popular report should inform the non-expert audience about the discoveries and their significance in an accessible manner. Popular booklets may be produced both for children and for adult audiences.
- 6.5.4 Any identified publication should also aim to draw on the results of relevant previous archaeological investigations undertaken within and adjacent to the Scheme, to present a coherent and comprehensive record of the archaeological resource within its wider landscape view.

6.6 OASIS

- 6.6.1 At the start of the site work (immediately before each stage of archaeological fieldwork commences) an OASIS online record will be initiated, and key fields will be completed on Details, Location and Creators forms.
- 6.6.2 The final OASIS record shall be included in the fieldwork report and/or post-excavation assessment report.

6.7 Archive and Data Management

- 6.7.1 Prior to the start of each stage of archaeological fieldwork, the Archaeological Contractor will contact the recipient museum to determine the requirements for the preparation and deposition of the physical archive and finds and agree any accession numbers. At this stage, a Project Initiation Form will be completed and submitted by the Archaeological Contractor to the recipient museum.
- 6.7.2 The archive will be prepared in accordance with the ClfA guidelines, including the Standard and Guidance for the creation, compilation, transfer and deposition of archaeological archives (Ref. 9), the Archaeological

Archive Deposition Policy for Museums in Yorkshire and the Humber (Ref. 26) and the South Yorkshire Archaeology Service Archaeological Mitigation Standards and Guidance for (Ref. 10).

- 6.7.3 The Archaeological Contractor will compile a Data Management Plan and Selection Strategy in line with ClfA guidelines (Ref. 9) and include it in their SSWSI.
- 6.7.4 The digital archive must be deposited with a Trusted Digital Repository, such as the Archaeological Data Service (Ref. 16) and it is anticipated that the repository will have in-house Data Management Plans to allow for the long-term preservation of the digital archive data, including plans for data back-up and migration to new digital formats as they emerge.

7. SSWSI Requirements

7.1 General Approach

- 7.1.1 The Archaeological Contractor will be responsible for the production of SSWSIs prior to the start of each stage of archaeological evaluation and mitigation fieldwork.
- 7.1.2 The SSWSIs will be drafted in accordance with the principles and methods set out in this Framework AMS. The Archaeological Contractor will be responsible for the delivery of the archaeological evaluation and mitigation programme in accordance with the SSWSIs, and this responsibility will include all on-site and off-site archaeological works and recording.
- 7.1.3 The SSWSIs will be prepared in consultation with the ACoW and approved by the Archaeological Advisor to CDC prior to the start of works.
- 7.1.4 The SSWSI will be prepared in accordance with current standards and guidance, including SYAS's Templates for Written Scheme of Investigation for Archaeological Watching Brief (Ref. 15), Archaeological Field Evaluation (Ref. 13), and Archaeological Mitigation (Ref. 11) and should include the following sections as a minimum:
 - a. A statement on the technical, research and ethical competences of the project team, including relevant professional accreditation;
 - b. Site location (including map) and descriptions;
 - c. Context of the project;
 - d. Geological and topographical background;
 - e. Archaeological and historical background;
 - f. General and specific research aims of the project, with reference to Regional Research Frameworks;
 - g. Methodology;
 - h. Collection and disposal strategy for artefacts, ecofacts, and all paper, graphic and digital materials (including Data Management Plan and Selection Strategy);
 - i. Arrangements for immediate conservation of artefacts;
 - j. Details of backfilling;
 - k. Post-fieldwork assessment and analysis of project data;
 - l. Report preparation (including details of the section headings);
 - m. Publication and dissemination proposals, as required;
 - n. Copyright;
 - o. Details of finds storage;
 - p. Programme and staffing;
 - q. Health and Safety considerations;
 - r. Environmental protection considerations; and
 - s. Monitoring procedures.

8. Monitoring Processes

8.1 Monitoring

- 8.1.1 The ACoW will liaise with the Archaeological Contractor to monitor progress and compliance with the requirements of this Framework AMS and approved SSWSIs.
- 8.1.2 This will include (but not be limited to):
 - a. Monitoring of all aspects of on-site archaeological fieldwork; and
 - b. Monitoring of the installation and removal of protective measures, such as temporary fencing, and at sites where preservation of archaeological remains is required.
- 8.1.3 The ACoW will act as a coordinator in respect of access and monitoring arrangements with the Archaeological Advisor to CDC. This will include oversight of engagement between the Archaeological Contractor and the relevant stakeholders, including the Regional Science Advisor for Historic England, to ensure the timely provision of on-site advice to the fieldwork team.
- 8.1.4 The archaeological fieldwork will be subject to ongoing monitoring by the ACoW, who will have unrestricted access to the sites, site records, or any other information as may be required. The work will be inspected to ensure that it is being carried out to the required standard and that it will achieve the desired aims and objectives.

8.2 Stakeholders and Statutory Roles

- 8.2.1 Implementation of the Framework AMS and SSWSIs will also be monitored by the Archaeological Advisor to CDC.
- 8.2.2 Site monitoring meetings will be held as necessary throughout the archaeological programme to allow implementation of the works to be monitored to ensure adherence to the approved SSWSIs, effective decision making where required and to support timely 'sign-off' of archaeological completion.

8.3 Site Meetings

- 8.3.1 It is anticipated that monitoring meetings will be held as necessary throughout the archaeological programme to allow implementation of the works to be monitored to ensure adherence to the approved SSWSIs, effective decision making where required and to support timely 'sign-off' of archaeological completion.
- 8.3.2 Attendees will normally include, but not be limited to the following, as required:
 - a. The ACoW;
 - b. The Archaeological Contractor; and
 - c. the Archaeological Advisor to CDC.

8.4 Progress Reports

- 8.4.1 The Archaeological Contractor will prepare weekly progress reports for the duration of the archaeological works. The reports will be issued to the ACoW who will distribute them to the Applicant and the Archaeological Advisor to CDC. The progress reports will include as a minimum:
- a. General progress and summary of fieldwork results;
 - b. Programme and resources lookahead;
 - c. Site-specific issues (access/constraints etc.); and
 - d. Safety, Health and Environment (SHE) issues.

8.5 Approvals and Sign-Off of Archaeological Mitigation Sites

- 8.5.1 Site works that have been completed (confirmed as completed during a site meeting and agreed between the ACoW and the Archaeological Advisor to CDC) will be subject to a sign-off procedure.
- 8.5.2 The Archaeological Contractor will submit a completion statement to the ACoW who will distribute it to the Applicant. The ACoW will also submit the completion statement to the Archaeological Advisor to CDC as confirmation that the relevant works have been completed in compliance with the Framework AMS and relevant SSWSI. The Archaeological Advisor to CDC will have final approval and sign off of all archaeological evaluation and mitigation works.

9. Public Outreach and Community Engagement

9.1 General Approach

- 9.1.1 A programme of public outreach and community engagement will be developed prior to the start of works in consultation with the Archaeological Advisor to CDC and will be set out in the Archaeological Contractors SSWSIs.
- 9.1.2 The aim of public outreach and community engagement is to collaboratively interpret and communicate the results of the archaeological mitigation works to a wide audience, including local communities directly impacted by the Scheme (that is, people living and working with the locality of the Scheme), and wider regional audiences where appropriate.
- 9.1.3 The objective of the public outreach and community engagement will be to provide information to a wide variety of audiences, ranging from those with a strong interest in archaeology and heritage, to those with no specific involvement.
- 9.1.4 The programme of public outreach and community engagement may incorporate site-based activities, initiatives undertaken during ongoing excavations, and activities undertaken throughout the post-excavation phase. These will be fully set out in the Archaeological Contractors SSWSIs but could include:
- a. Live, local, site-based activities, such as guided site tours and guided walks (these will be subject to health, safety and access considerations).
 - b. Live, local, hands-on participative and learning events, such as:
 - i. Work experience or volunteer involvement in off-site post-excavation, such as finds cleaning, processing and recording (subject to regulations regarding the use of volunteers on development-led archaeological projects); and/or
 - ii. Pop-up exhibitions and artefact handling sessions.
 - c. Education and learning, such as:
 - i. Providing learning resources for classroom-based archaeology sessions aimed at involving children and teachers in their local archaeology and heritage; and/or
 - ii. Public talks and lectures, ranging from local talks to community organisations, local archaeology and history societies, to talks at regional conferences.

10. Variations to Scheme Design

- 10.1.1 Any variations to Scheme design which have the potential to result in additional impacts to archaeological remains not previously identified and/or which would change previously identified impacts will be subject to review. The review will identify any changes to previously identified impacts and will identify the requirement for an appropriate mitigation response.
- 10.1.2 Any variations to the Scheme design will be submitted to the Archaeological Advisor to CDC for review. Appropriate mitigation responses will be identified and confirmed in consultation with the Archaeological Advisor to CDC and will be set out in the Final AMS.
- 10.1.3 Following the completion of the further evaluation surveys, and subject to detailed design, the mitigation strategies set out within this Framework AMS will be reviewed in consultation with the Archaeological Advisor to CDC, and final mitigation strategies will be confirmed for each mitigation area. The final mitigation strategies for each mitigation area will be set out within the Final AMS..

11. General Health and Safety Requirements

- 11.1.1 The Applicant is responsible for providing information on any relevant constraints within the Order limits including, but not limited to, recently conducted service and utility searches (for both buried and overhead services) and Unexploded Ordnance Survey (UXO) reports.
- 11.1.2 The Archaeological Contractor shall prepare Risk Assessment(s) and a project specific Health and Safety Plan and submit these to the Applicant for approval prior to starting on site. These should include staff CVs which should detailed the Health and Safety qualifications held by the Archaeological Contractor site team, including Site Managers Safety Training Scheme (SMSTS) and Site Supervisors Safety Training Scheme (SSSTS).
- 11.1.3 The Archaeological Contractor's Risk Assessment(s) and project Health and Safety Plan shall make reference to relevant health and safety guidance and good practice (for example: Health and Safety Executive GS6 – Avoidance of Danger from Overhead Lines (Ref. 21); HS(G)47 – Avoiding Danger from Underground Services (Ref. 22); Energy Networks Association The Safe Use of Mechanical Plant in the Vicinity of Electricity Overhead Lines (Ref. 23); PAS 128 – Specification for underground utility detection, verification and location (Ref. 24); and The Environment Agency's land contamination risk management (LCRM) (Ref. 25).
- 11.1.4 The Applicant will provide the Archaeological Contractor with the results of recently conducted service and utility searches; however, the Archaeological Contractor shall be responsible for identifying any buried or overhead services and taking the necessary precautions to avoid damage to such services, prior to and during the fieldwork. The Archaeological Contractor will ensure that any individual scanning for buried services is both competent and appropriately trained in the use of a CAT and genny.
- 11.1.5 The Archaeological Contractor shall at all times maintain a safe working distance from the overhead and buried services/utilities. In addition, the Archaeological Contractor shall be responsible for any requirements with regard to work in the vicinity of watercourses.
- 11.1.6 All site personnel will wear personal protective equipment (PPE) as defined by the Archaeological Contractor's approved risk assessment undertaken in accordance with mandatory requirements. Any visitors to the investigations will require a site induction in accordance with the Archaeological Contractor's Health and Safety requirements and will have read the appropriate Archaeological Contractor's site-specific Risk Assessment and Method Statement. The Archaeological Contractor will ensure that any visitors to the investigations are equipped with suitable PPE prior to entry to the Order limits. All equipment that is used in the course of the fieldwork must be 'fit for purpose' and be maintained in a sound working condition that complies with all relevant Health and Safety regulations and recommendations.
- 11.1.7 The Archaeological Contractor will assure the provision and maintenance of adequate, suitable and sufficient welfare and sanitary facilities at appropriate locations for the duration of the works. The locations for the temporary site welfare facilities and vehicle parking will be agreed with the Applicant and the ACoW prior to the start of the works. Facilities, roles and


responsibilities shall adhere to the provisions of the relevant Health and Safety Executive guidance (Ref. 20).


11.1.8 All site personnel will familiarise themselves with the following:


- a. Site emergency and evacuation procedures;
- b. The site's health and safety coordinator;
- c. The first aider; and
- d. The location of the nearest hospital and doctor's surgery.


12. Archaeological Mitigation Areas

Area 1	
Field Number:	Field NW1
ES Gazetteer Reference:	AEC004
Size (ha)	0.66 ha
	
Description	
At the centre of the northern extent of the field, the geophysical survey and trial trenching identified a series of irregular and linear anomalies, seemingly forming rectilinear shapes. To the east of these are two longer, connected, linear anomalies which share their rough alignment. These responses seem likely to represent the remains of an Iron Age / Romano-British settlement enclosure and associated features including pits and a possible oven or kiln.	
Scheme impact	
The archaeological remains would be permanently affected by the installation of the solar panel arrays including mounting frames and cable trenches, as well as other supporting infrastructure.	
Mitigation	
Preservation In-Situ (avoidance) or Strip, Map and Record	


Area 2	
Field Number:	Field NW5
ES Gazetteer Reference:	AEC005
Size (ha)	0.69 ha
	
Description	
Geophysical survey and trial trenching identified a series of intercutting rectilinear and circular features in the northwestern corner of this field and likely represent a multi-phase Iron Age / Romano-British settlement enclosure.	
Scheme impact	
The archaeological remains would be permanently affected by the installation of the solar panel arrays including mounting frames and cable trenches, as well as other supporting infrastructure and landscape and ecological mitigation works.	
Mitigation	
Strip, Map and Record	

Area 3	
Field Number:	Field NW9
ES Gazetteer Reference:	AEC007
Size (ha)	0.18
	
Description	
The geophysical survey and trial trenching identified a cluster of features representing the remains of Iron Age / Romano-British settlement activity, comprising a ring ditch, internal pits and a possible hearth.	
Scheme impact	
The archaeological remains would be permanently affected by the installation of the solar panel arrays including mounting frames and cable trenches, as well as other supporting infrastructure.	
Mitigation	
Strip, Map and Record	


Area 4	
Field Number:	Field NW7
ES Gazetteer Reference:	AEC008
Size (ha)	0.43 ha
	
Description	
The geophysical survey and trial trenching identified a set of tightly packed linear and curvilinear features which likely represent an Iron Age / Romano-British settlement enclosure.	
Scheme impact	
The archaeological remains would be permanently affected by the installation of the solar panel arrays including mounting frames and cable trenches, as well as other supporting infrastructure.	
Mitigation	
Preservation In-Situ (Avoidance) or Strip, Map and Record	


Area 5	
Field Number:	Field NW10
ES Gazetteer Reference:	AEC009
Size (ha)	0.27 ha
	
Description	
The trial trenching identified a concentration of features including a ring ditch and two linear features to the north of the ring ditch, which likely represents a prehistoric roundhouse.	
Scheme impact	
The archaeological remains would be permanently affected by the installation of the solar panel arrays including mounting frames and cable trenches, as well as other supporting infrastructure.	
Mitigation	
Strip, Map and Record	


Area 6	
Field Number:	Field NE9
ES Gazetteer Reference:	AEC010
Size (ha)	0.95 ha
	
Description	
The geophysical survey and trial trenching identified two defined areas of archaeological features within this field which likely represent Iron Age / Romano-British settlement enclosures. Area 6 comprises a series of linear features forming rectangular / square enclosures and a shallow ring ditch was also identified.	
Scheme impact	
The archaeological remains would be permanently affected by the installation of the solar panel arrays including mounting frames and cable trenches, as well as other supporting infrastructure.	
Mitigation	
Preservation in-situ (Avoidance or Concrete Blocks) or Strip, Map and Record	


Area 7	
Field Number:	Field NE9
ES Gazetteer Reference:	AEC011
Size (ha)	0.46 ha
	
Description	
The geophysical survey and trial trenching identified two defined areas of archaeological features within this field which likely represent Iron Age / Romano-British settlement enclosures. Area 7 comprised a more defined area of activity which likely represents one or two rectilinear enclosures with at least one possible roundhouse within them, as well as pits and postholes.	
Scheme impact	
The archaeological remains would be permanently affected by the installation of the solar panel arrays including mounting frames and cable trenches, as well as other supporting infrastructure.	
Mitigation	
Preservation In-Situ (Avoidance or Concrete Blocks) or Strip, Map and Record	


Area 8	
Field Number:	Field NE11
ES Gazetteer Reference:	AEC012
Size (ha)	1 ha
	
Description	
The geophysical survey and trial trenching identified a series of closely spaced linear features which form a rectangular enclosure with at least one internal roundhouse, and which are likely to represent an Iron Age / Romano-British settlement enclosure.	
Scheme impact	
The archaeological remains would be permanently affected by the installation of the solar panel arrays including mounting frames and cable trenches, as well as other supporting infrastructure.	
Mitigation	
Preservation In-Situ (Avoidance) or Strip, Map and Record	


Area 9	
Field Number:	Field SE3
ES Gazetteer Reference:	AEC013
Size (ha)	N/A
	
Description	
The geophysical survey and trial trenching identified two areas of archaeological features which likely represent Iron Age / Romano-British settlement enclosure and associated field systems. The two areas do not appear to be physically connected but are likely to be contemporary. A series of pits identified in Trench 299 may represent a foci of settlement activity and possible internal features related to an enclosure not picked up in the trenches.	
Scheme impact	
The archaeological remains would be permanently affected by the installation of the solar panel arrays including mounting frames and cable trenches, as well as other supporting infrastructure.	
Mitigation	
Watching Brief	

Area 10	
Field Number:	Field SW8
ES Gazetteer Reference:	AEC015
Size (ha)	1.47 ha
	
Description	
The geophysical survey and trial trenching identified an area of discontinuous linear and rectilinear features which likely represent a series of overlapping Romano-British enclosures containing a number of ring ditches of Iron Age date.	
Scheme impact	
The archaeological remains would be permanently affected by the installation of the solar panel arrays including mounting frames and cable trenches, as well as other supporting infrastructure.	
Mitigation	
Preservation In-Situ (Avoidance or Concrete Blocks) or Strip, Map and Record	


Area 11	
Field Number:	Field SW10
ES Gazetteer Reference:	AEC016
Size (ha)	1.41 ha
	
Description	
The trial trenching identified a series of linear features which likely represent an Iron Age / Romano-British field system. Towards the northeastern extent of the field system, a concentration of closely spaced linear features were recorded which indicate a foci of settlement activity within an enclosure.	
Scheme impact	
The archaeological remains would be permanently affected by the installation of the solar panel arrays including mounting frames and cable trenches, as well as other supporting infrastructure.	
Mitigation	
Preservation In-Situ (Avoidance or Concrete Blocks) or Strip, Map and Record	


Area 12	
Field Number:	Field SW10
ES Gazetteer Reference:	AEC017
Size (ha)	N/A
	
Description	
The trial trenching identified a series of linear features which likely represent an Iron Age / Romano-British field system.	
Scheme impact	
The archaeological remains would be permanently affected by the installation of the BESS and the main construction compound.	
Mitigation	
Watching Brief	

Area 13	
Field Number:	Field SW9
ES Gazetteer Reference:	AEC018
Size (ha)	0.13 ha
	
Description	
The trial trenching identified a ring ditch, pits and a linear feature terminating within the ring ditch, which likely represents an Iron Age roundhouse.	
Scheme impact	
The archaeological remains would be permanently affected by the installation of the solar panel arrays including mounting frames and cable trenches, as well as other supporting infrastructure.	
Mitigation	
Strip, Map and Record	

Area 14	
Field Number:	Field NE1
ES Gazetteer Reference:	AEC019
Size (ha)	N/A
	
Description	
The trial trenching identified a series of linear features which likely represent an Iron Age / Romano-British field system.	
Scheme impact	
The archaeological remains would be permanently affected by landscape and ecological mitigation works.	
Mitigation	
Watching Brief	

Area 15	
Field Number:	Field SW3
ES Gazetteer Reference:	AEC020
Size (ha)	0.23 ha
 The image is an aerial photograph of a field. A large area is shaded in pink. Within this pink area, there is a smaller, irregularly shaped area outlined in blue and labeled 'Area 15'. A blue line, labeled 'SW3', runs diagonally across the field. The field is surrounded by other fields and some trees. The overall scene is a rural landscape.	
Description	
The trial trenching identified a concentration of features comprising ditches, gullies and pits that appear to be contemporary or associated with each other, and likely represent Iron Age / Romano-British settlement activity.	
Scheme impact	
The archaeological remains would be permanently affected by the installation of the solar panel arrays including mounting frames and cable trenches, as well as other supporting infrastructure.	
Mitigation	
Strip, Map and Record	

Area 16	
Field Number:	Field SW6
ES Gazetteer Reference:	AEC021
Size (ha)	0.39 ha
	
Description	
The trial trenching identified a series of linear features which likely represent an Iron Age / Romano-British settlement enclosure.	
Scheme impact	
The archaeological remains would be permanently affected by the installation of the solar panel arrays including mounting frames and cable trenches, as well as other supporting infrastructure and landscape and ecological mitigation works.	
Mitigation	
Preservation In-Situ (Avoidance) or Strip, Map and Record	

Area 17	
Field Number:	Field NE8, Field NE10
ES Gazetteer Reference:	05631, 05632
Size (ha)	21ha
	
Description	
The geophysical survey data within these fields identified a relatively dense band of probable archaeological remains extending along the fields southeastern margin, adjacent to the Fleet Drain. Here, a series of rectilinear responses extend at right-angles away from the watercourse, forming a chain of rectangular responses and circular responses. These seem most likely to represent Iron Age and/or Romano-British settlement activity.	
Scheme impact	
Embedded mitigation is provided in the form of removal of Solar PV Panels from the Scheme design in this area. During construction and operation, this panel free area will not be used for construction or operation related activities and will be fenced off from the Scheme. The proposed works would not result in any physical impacts to this asset.	
Mitigation	
Preservation In-Situ (Avoidance)	

13. References

- Ref. 1 His Majesty's Stationary Office (HMSO) (2008). Planning Act 2008. Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2008/29/contents>. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 2 Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (CIfA) (2022). Code of Conduct. Available at: <https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/Code%20of%20conduct%20revOct2022.pdf>. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 3 CIfA (2023). Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Excavation. Available at: https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/CIfAS&GExcavation_1.pdf. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 4 CIfA (2023). Universal Guidance for Archaeological Excavation. Available at: <https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/Universal%20guidance%20for%20archaeological%20excavation.pdf>. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 5 CIfA (2023). Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation. Available at: https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/CIfAS&GFieldevaluation_1.pdf. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 6 CIfA (2023). Universal Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation. Available at: <https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/Universal%20guidance%20for%20archaeological%20field%20evaluation.pdf>. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 7 CIfA (2023). Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Monitoring and Recording. Available at: <https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/Standard%20for%20archaeological%20monitoring%20%26%20recording.pdf>. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 8 CIfA (2023). Universal Guidance for Archaeological Monitoring and Recording. Available at: <https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/Universal%20guidance%20for%20archaeological%20monitoring%20%26%20recording.pdf>. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 9 CIfA (2020). Standard and Guidance for the creation, compilation, transfer and deposition of archaeological archives. Available at: https://www.archaeologists.net/sites/default/files/CIFAS%26GArchives_4.pdf. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 10 South Yorkshire Archaeology Service (SYAS) (2024). Archaeological Mitigation Standards and Guidance. Available at: <https://www.sheffield.gov.uk/sites/default/files/2024-07/archaeological-mitigation-standards-guidance.pdf>. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 11 SYAS (2024). Template Written Scheme of Investigation for Archaeological Mitigation. Available at: <https://www.sheffield.gov.uk/sites/default/files/2024-07/template-written->

- [scheme-of-investigation-for-archaeological-mitigation.pdf](#). [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 12 SYAS (2024). Standards for Archaeological Field Evaluation. Available at: https://www.sheffield.gov.uk/sites/default/files/2023-06/SYAS_Eval%20Standards.pdf. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 13 SYAS (2024). Template Written Scheme of Investigation for Archaeological Field Evaluation. Available at: https://www.sheffield.gov.uk/sites/default/files/2023-06/SYAS_Eval_WSI_Template.pdf. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 14 SYAS (2024). Standards for Archaeological Watching Brief. Available at: https://www.sheffield.gov.uk/sites/default/files/2023-11/SYAS_WB_Standards.pdf. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 15 SYAS (2024). Template Written Scheme of Investigation for Archaeological Watching Brief. Available at: https://www.sheffield.gov.uk/sites/default/files/2023-11/SYAS_WB_WSI_Template.pdf. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 16 ADS (2011). Digital Antiquity Guides to Good Practice. Archaeology Data Service, University of York. Available at: <https://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/help-guidance/guides-to-good-practice/>. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 17 Historic England (2015). Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment. The MoRPHE Project Manager's Guide. Available at: <https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/morphe-project-managers-guide/heag024-morphe-managers-guide/>. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 18 Archaeological Research Frameworks (2024). South Yorkshire Historic Environment Research Framework Interactive Digital Resource. Available at: <https://researchframeworks.org/syrf/>. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 19 Burial Act (1857). Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/20-21/81/contents>. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 20 Health and Safety Executive (HSE) (2007) Guidance for employers on welfare provisions. Available at: <https://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/indg293.htm>. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 21 HSE (2013) GS6 - Avoidance of Danger from Overhead Lines. Available at: <https://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/g6.htm>. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 22 HSE (2014) HSG47 - Avoiding Danger from Underground Services. Available at: <https://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/books/hsg47.htm>. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 23 Energy Networks Association (2007) The Safe Use of Mechanical Plant in the Vicinity of Electricity Overhead Lines. Available at: https://www.energynetworks.org/assets/images/Resource%20library/LookoutLookup_070918%20The%20Use%20of%20Mechanical%20Plant%20%20DUE%20REVIEW.pdf?1717178275. [Accessed 3 October 2024].
- Ref. 24 British Standards Institution (2024) PAS 128 – Specification for underground utility detection, verification and location. Available at: <https://knowledge.bsigroup.com/products/pas-128-underground-utility->

[detection-verification-and-location-specification?version=standard.](#)
[Accessed 3 October 2024].


Ref. 25 The Environment Agency (2020) Land contamination risk management (LCRM). Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/land-contamination-risk-management-lcrm>. [Accessed 3 October 2024].

Ref. 26 Archaeological Archive Deposition Policy for Museums in Yorkshire and the Humber. Available at: <https://view.officeapps.live.com/op/view.aspx?src=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.museumdevelopmentnorth.org.uk%2Fwp-content%2Fuploads%2F2017%2F12%2FArchaeological-Archive-Deposition-Policy-for-Museums-in-Yorkshire-and-the-Humber-Issue-140512.doc&wdOrigin=BROWSELINK> [Accessed 9 April 2025]

Abbreviations

Abbreviation/Term	Meaning
AMS	Archaeological Mitigation Strategy
ACoW	Archaeological Clerk of Works
CBM	Ceramic Building Material
CDC	City of Doncaster Council
CEMP	Construction Environmental Management Plan
CIfA	Chartered Institute for Archaeologists
DCO	Development Consent Order
ES	Environmental Statement
HER	Historic Environment Record
HSE	Health and Safety Executive
HWCN	Hazardous Waste Consignment Note
JIT	Just-in-Time
KPIs	Key Performance Indicators
LEMP	Landscape and Ecological Management Plan
MW	Megawatts
NSIP	Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project
OS	Ordnance Survey
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
PV	Photovoltaic
SMSTS	Site Managers Safety Training Scheme
SSSTS	Site Supervisors Safety Training Scheme
SSWSI	Site-Specific Written Scheme of Investigation
SYAS	South Yorkshire Archaeology Service
UXO	Unexploded Ordnance Survey
UPD	Updated Project Design

Annex A Figures



AECOM

PROJECT

Fenwick Solar Farm

CLIENT

Fenwick Solar Project
Limited

CONSULTANT

AECOM Limited
Midpoint,
Alencon Link
Basingstoke, RG21 7PP
www.aecom.com

LEGEND

- Order limits
- Land not included in the Order limits

NOTES

Reproduced from Ordnance Survey digital map data © Crown copyright 2024. All rights reserved. Licence number 0100031673. Contains Ordnance Survey Data © Crown Copyright and database right 2024.

ISSUE PURPOSE

Archaeological Mitigation Strategy

PROJECT NUMBER


60698207

FIGURE TITLE

Site Location Plan

FIGURE NUMBER


Figure 1



This drawing has been prepared for the use of AECOM's client. It may not be used, modified, reproduced or relied upon by third parties, except as agreed by AECOM or as required by law. AECOM accepts no responsibility, and denies any liability whatsoever, to any party that uses or relies on this drawing without AECOM's express written consent. Do not scale this drawing. All measurements must be obtained from the stated dimensions.



Figure 3



PROJECT

Fenwick Solar Farm

CLIENT

Fenwick Solar Project Limited

CONSULTANT

AECOM Limited
Midpoint,
Alencon Link
Basingstoke, RG21 7PP
www.aecom.com

LEGEND

- Solar PV Site
- Area for Trial Trench Evaluation
- Trial Trench

NOTES

Reproduced from Ordnance Survey digital map data © Crown copyright 2024. All rights reserved. Licence number 0100031673.

ISSUE PURPOSE

Archaeological Mitigation Strategy

PROJECT NUMBER


60698207

FIGURE TITLE

Areas for Trial Trench Evaluation (Solar PV Site)

FIGURE NUMBER

Figure 4



AECOM

PROJECT

Fenwick Solar Farm

CLIENT

Fenwick Solar Project
Limited

CONSULTANT

AECOM Limited
Midpoint,
Alencon Link
Basingstoke, RG21 7PP
www.aecom.com

LEGEND

- Grid Connection Corridor
- Preliminary Areas for Geophysical Survey

NOTES

Reproduced from Ordnance Survey digital map data © Crown copyright 2024. All rights reserved. Licence number 0100031673.

ISSUE PURPOSE

Archaeological Mitigation Strategy

PROJECT NUMBER

60698207

FIGURE TITLE

Areas for Geophysical Survey (Grid
Connection Corridor)

FIGURE NUMBER

Figure 5



BUILD | OWN | OPERATE | MAINTAIN

BOOM-POWER.CO.UK